



# **ACTUARY'S REPORT 2008**

Plans B & C

PRICEV/ATERHOUSE COOPERS 150

# Michigan Education Trust Plans B and C Actuary's Report As of September 30, 2008

December 30, 2008

Mr. Robert J. Kleine Chairman of the Board of Directors Michigan Education Trust Department of Treasury P. O. Box 30198 Lansing, Michigan 48909

Dear Mr. Kleine:

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP (PwC) in conjunction with Richard M. Kaye & Associates has performed an actuarial valuation of Plans B and C (MET I) of the Michigan Education Trust (MET or the Trust), at the request of the Trust as of September 30, 2008. The valuation is based on data furnished by MET regarding the contracts submitted during the 1988, 1989 and 1990 enrollment periods; unaudited financial data provided by MET; the actuarial basis described herein and the contract provisions in effect for the 1988, 1989 and 1990 enrollments.

This report presents the results of the valuation of the assets and liabilities of MET I in compliance with Act Number 316 of the Public Acts of 1986 and contains information required for financial statement purposes.

The valuation was performed based upon generally accepted actuarial principles, and tests were performed as considered necessary to ensure the accuracy of the results. We certify that the amounts presented in the accompanying report have been appropriately determined according to the actuarial assumptions stated herein.

This report is prepared for the internal use of the Michigan Education Trust. Any external use or distribution of this report is not authorized without prior written approval of PwC.

Respectfully submitted,

Michael E. Mielzynski, FCAS, MAAA Manager, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

Richard M. Kaye

Richard M. Kaye & Associates

Consultant to PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

# **Michigan Education Trust**

# Plans B and C

# **Actuary's Report**

# Contents

		<u>Page</u>
Section I	Summary	1
Section II	Measurement of Actuarial Soundness	6
Section III	Assets	7
Section IV	Contract Data	9
Section V	Assumptions and Methods	15
Section VI	Contract Provisions Valued	19

This report presents the results of the September 30, 2008 actuarial valuation of Plans B and C of the Michigan Education Trust. The valuation is based on contract data provided by MET and unaudited financial information provided by MET.

This section presents a summary of the valuation results and the funded status of Plans B and C at September 30, 2008.

A.	Actuarial valuation highlights	<u>Plan B</u>	<u>Plan C</u>
	1. Net market value of assets *	\$362,621,580	\$3,328,807
	2. Present value of future benefits and expenses **		
	Assuming the fund earns 4.75 percent, the interest rate being earned on the market value of the assets	\$336,199,336	\$1,363,280

### **B. Funded Status**

In our opinion, the best estimate of the funded status of MET I is to compare the market value of assets with the present value of future benefits and expenses assuming the fund earns 4.75 percent compounded annually. Accordingly, in our opinion, based upon the assumptions and methods stated in Section V and further described in the following section, as of September 30, 2008, Plan B shows a surplus of \$26,422,244 and Plan C shows a surplus of \$1,965,527 (A-1 less A-2). Overall, the program is 108.4% funded.

<sup>\*</sup>Using market value of bonds.

<sup>\*\*</sup>See A, page 15.

(continued)

# C. Assumptions

This valuation is based on the assumptions and methods stated in Section V.

It should be kept in mind that the soundness determination of MET is based upon many assumptions. There is considerable uncertainty surrounding the significant factors that affect the solvency of the Trust.

The most important assumptions are the discount rate, the rate of increase in tuition, and selection against the Trust by purchasers and beneficiaries. The discount rate was determined based upon the schedule of bonds forwarded by the Michigan Department of Treasury, Bureau of Investments and approved by the Board. The other assumptions were adopted by the MET Board based upon an analysis of alternatives presented by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP.

It is premised that expected benefit payments will be diversified in duration to allow MET to invest at the assumed investment yield. It is also premised that MET will be able to liquidate its investments in order to meet future benefit payments while still earning the investment yields that are assumed within this report. Any deviation in the actual investment yield from the expected investment yield may materially affect the conclusions within this report.

### **Investment Yield**

The investment yield is the long-term earnings rate expected from the assets of the Trust. The investment yield is net of any investment expenses charged to the Trust.

MET's investment policy objectives include: the avoidance of volatility; the preservation of the real value of the fund; and the maximization of the expected yield. The investment strategy focuses on investment grade fixed income securities.

The investment yield assumption is based on the earnings of the existing portfolio together with estimates of the yields that will be available on reinvestment of income.

# **Exemption from Federal Income Taxation of the Trust**

Section 529 of the Internal Revenue Code, added by the Small Business Job Protection Act of 1996, H.R. 3448, exempts Qualified Tuition Plans from Federal income tax. MET has received a determination letter from the IRS stating that MET meets the Section 529 requirements.

On November 8, 1994, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit ruled that MET is not liable for Federal income taxes. The Internal Revenue Service refunded taxes paid for the years ended in 1988 through 1995.

### Rate of Increase in Tuition

The amount paid for each beneficiary who uses a full benefits contract to attend a four-year State Institution of Higher Education will be the resident undergraduate tuition plus mandatory fees. (In this section, "tuition plus mandatory fees" will hereinafter be referred to as "tuition"). Tuition increases reflect effective management of the colleges as well as general inflation, the rate of increase in state support and real improvements in the quality of educational services. Based on careful analysis, the MET Board adopted a tuition increase assumption allowing for improvement in the quality of education above an expected rate of inflation.

The tuition increase assumption adopted by the MET Board is 7.30% for all future years.

(continued)

### **New Contracts in Future Years**

As of September 30, 2008, the assumption is that there will be no new entrants to this program.

# Selection Against the Trust by Purchasers and Beneficiaries

A basic reason for establishing MET is that the purchase of a contract will increase the commitment of the purchaser to a belief the beneficiary will become qualified to enter college; and that the ownership of a contract will cause the beneficiary to be comfortable with a commitment to academic achievement. (This linkage is sometimes referred to as the "Lang effect," after the philanthropist who quaranteed college tuition for a class of students at his alma mater elementary school.)

Selection against the Trust may cause the amount MET pays to exceed WAT. WAT is measured by weighting the tuition at each college by the number of full-time equated Michigan students at that college. The tuition MET pays will equal the WAT if MET beneficiaries attend the Michigan colleges in the same proportions as all Michigan students. On the other hand, if for example, all MET beneficiaries were to attend the University of Michigan, MET would pay tuition much higher than the WAT. Selection refers to the degree to which the MET beneficiaries choose to attend the higher priced colleges, and so cause MET to pay out more tuition benefits.

The cost of selection against the Trust by beneficiaries who attend the Michigan public colleges is offset somewhat by gains from contracts that are terminated. The payments on contracts that are terminated generally are of lower value than the payments on contracts used to attend the Michigan public colleges.

### Other

In addition to the key assumptions discussed above and in Section V, the following important assumptions are subject to uncertainty that can be resolved only through the development of meaningful experience in the operation of MET. (A summary of the assumptions and methods is presented in Section V.)

This report assumes that the contract provisions applicable to these 1988, 1989, and 1990 enrollments and the Federal income tax status of the Trust will remain the same and will not be changed through State or Federal legislation or regulation. However, the MET Act and Federal tax laws are subject to change.

The tuition structure and other characteristics of the future higher education system used by MET beneficiaries will be identical to the system of the 1990's.

This report assumes that loan defaults will be insignificant. A default will result in a payment from MET to a savings institution. Thus, loan defaults will accelerate cash flows from the Trust. The expected defaults do not increase or decrease the funded status, since the payment to the savings institutions will be charged to the individual contract. However, the reduction of the asset and liability balances will affect the stability of the Trust as a whole. The experience with respect to the loan defaults has been favorable.

### **D. Monthly Purchase Contracts**

In 1990, MET sold contracts on a monthly purchase plan. These contracts provide that the tuition credits accrue pro rata over the period for which purchases will be made. We have calculated future liabilities of the contracts recognizing that all monthly purchases have been paid in full.

(continued)

### E. Actuarial Method

The primary purpose of this Actuary's Report is to attest to the actuarial soundness of the Trust. The method used should be sensitive to long-term trends in the rate of increase in tuition and investment income. It should allow year-to-year fluctuations in experience. The method must be adaptable to a growing Trust, and be such that it will be accepted as a reasonable standard.

The method used in this Actuary's Report is described in Section V.

(continued)

# F. Historical Summary as of September 30, 2008

Number of contracts	<u>2008</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2004</u>
Full benefits	18,448	21,366	24,372	27,564	31,092
Limited benefits	125	149	181	205	240
Community college	444	<u>532</u>	<u>625</u>	<u>747</u>	<u>899</u>
Total	<u>19,017</u>	<u>22,047</u>	<u>25,178</u>	<u>28,516</u>	<u>32,231</u>
<u>Assets</u>					
Market Value	<u>\$365,950,387</u>	<u>\$440,374,436</u>	\$508,937,177	<u>\$575,987,709</u>	<u>\$640,928,101</u>

# **SECTION II – MEASUREMENT OF ACTUARIAL SOUNDNESS**

The following table sets forth the Trust's funded status at September 30, 2008:

	<u>Plan B</u>	<u>Plan C</u>
<ol> <li>Present value of future benefits and expenses, assuming the market value of assets earns 4.75 percent compounded annually</li> </ol>	\$336,199,336	\$1,363,280
2. Net market value of assets	\$362,621,580	\$3,328,807
3. Surplus (Unfunded Liability) as of September 30, 2008 = (2) less (1)	\$26,422,244	\$1,965,527

<sup>\*</sup> This figure, which is based on the actuarial assumptions set forth in Section V, represents the market value of assets required as of September 30, 2008, to provide the benefits and expenses of the program as they become due.

# **SECTION III – ASSETS**

# A. Summary of Assets at September 30, 2008

1.	Ca	ash and cash equivalents	\$ 14,237,245
2.	Inv	vestments	
	a.	Short-term investments	\$ 179,992,347
	b.	Unamortized discount on short-term investments	(1,130,936)
	c.	Bonds	 164,292,133
		Total investments	\$ 343,153,544
3.	Re	eceivables	
	a.	Advances to state general fund	\$ 2,551,095
	b.	Interest and dividends receivable	3,513,754
	c.	Due from others	 2,663,203
		Total receivables	\$ 8,728,052
4.	Lia	abilities	
	a.	Undisbursed charitable tuition	\$ 4,784
	b.	Compensated absences	153,184
	c.	Due to vendors and contract purchasers	-
	d.	Due to others	 10,485
		Total liabilities	\$ 168,453
5.	Ne	et assets = (1) + (2) + (3) - (4)	\$ 365,950,387

# **SECTION III – ASSETS**

(continued)

# B. Changes in the value of assets during the year ended September 30, 2008

1.	. Value of assets at beginning of year				440,374,436
2.	. Changes during year				
	a. Additions				
		(1)	Investment income	\$	14,267,449
		(2)	Miscellaneous income		379,363
		(3)	Net gain on sale of security		5,904,652
			Total additions = $(1) + (2) + (3)$	\$	20,551,464
	b.	Dec	ductions		
		(1)	Administrative and other expenses	\$	851,136
		(2)	Amounts paid under contracts		
			(a) Tuition reimbursement reinstatements		(306,241)
			(b) Tuition benefits		70,635,194
			(c) Termination benefits		
			[1] Paid to colleges		14,135,442
			[2] Death refunds		75,388
			[3] Paid to refund designee	_	7,014,044
			Total termination benefits	\$	21,224,874
			Total paid under contracts = (a) + (b) + (c)	\$	91,553,827
			Total deductions = (1) + (2)	\$	92,404,963
	c.	Unr	realized appreciation (depreciation)	\$	(2,570,550)
		Net	t increases (decreases) during year = a - b + c	\$	(74,424,049)
	Net value of assets at end of year = 1 + 2				365,950,387

# A. Contract Data Summary

	<u>Lump Sum</u>			Monthly		
	Full <u>Benefits</u>	Limited Benefits	Community College	Full <u>Benefits</u>	Community College	<u>Total</u>
Total as of September 30, 2007	20,364	149	499	1,002	33	22,047
Adjustment for prior years	431	3	9	14	1	458
Contracts paid in full*	(3,252)	(27)	(92)	(111)	(6)	(3,488)
Total as of September 30, 2008	17,543	125	416	905	28	19,017

<sup>\*</sup> See C., page 13.

(continued)

# B. Contracts in Payment Status as of September 30, 2008

	Full Benefits Contracts	Limited Benefits Contracts	Community College Contracts	Total
1. Michigan Public 4-Year College				
Central Michigan University	604	5	1	610
Eastern Michigan University	445	5	2	452
Ferris State University	259	0	0	259
(Kendall Art & Design of Ferris)	45	0	0	45
Grand Valley State University	483	3	5	491
Lake Superior State University	31	1	0	32
Michigan State University	2,248	10	1	2,259
Michigan Technological University	186	0	0	186
Northern Michigan University	129	0	0	129
Oakland University	369	0	0	369
Saginaw Valley State University	101	3	1	105
University of Michigan-Dearborn	179	1	0	180
University of Michigan-Flint	95	0	1	96
University of Michigan-Ann Arbor	1,336	3	1	1,340
Wayne State University	317	1	1	319
Western Michigan University	<u>764</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>771</u>
Total Michigan Public 4-Year College	<u>7,591</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>7,643</u>
2. Michigan Community College				
Alpena Community College	2	3	1	6
Bay De Noc Community College	3	0	0	3
Delta College	42	0	3	45
Glen Oaks Community College	1	0	1	2
Gogebic Community College	0	0	0	0
Grand Rapids Community College	76	2	14	92
Henry Ford Community College	92	1	5	98
Jackson Community College	21	0	8	29
Kalamazoo Valley Community College	98	0	10	108

(continued)

# B. Contracts in Payment Status as of September 30, 2008

		Full Benefits Contracts	Limited Benefits Contracts	Community College Contracts	Total
	Kellogg Community College	7	0	2	9
	Kirtland Community College	6	0	1	7
	Lake Michigan Community College	12	0	2	14
	Lansing Community College	214	1	32	247
	Macomb County Community College	149	0	28	177
	Mid-Michigan Community College	34	0	2	36
	Monroe Community College	18	0	1	19
	Montcalm Community College	1	0	0	1
	Mott Community College	55	0	8	63
	Muskegon Community College	14	0	3	17
	North Central Michigan College	6	0	0	6
	Northwestern Michigan College	47	1	6	54
	Oakland Community College	282	2	18	302
	Schoolcraft College	150	0	15	165
	Southwestern Michigan College	5	0	1	6
	St. Clair County Community College	29	0	5	34
	Washtenaw Community College	123	0	5	128
	Wayne County Community College	39	1	1	41
	West Shore Community College	1	0	0	1
	Total Community College	<u>1,527</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>172</u>	<u>1,710</u>
	<b>Total Active Contracts</b>	<u>9,118</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>185</u>	<u>9,353</u>
3.	Terminations in Progress				
	Reason:				
	Private College	713	1	6	720
	Out-of-State/Pay College	1,347	5	3	1,355
	Out-of-State/ Pay Refund Designee	27	2	0	29
	Full Scholarship	95	0	0	95
	Not Attending College	475	5	4	484

(continued)

# B. Contracts in Payment Status as of September 30, 2008

	Full Benefits Contracts	Limited Benefits Contracts	Community College Contracts	Total
Attend 4-year College with Community College Contract	0	0	37	37
Attend Community College with Full Benefits Contract	210	4	0	214
Military Termination	<u>10</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>10</u>
Total Terminations:	<u>2,877</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>2,944</u>
Grand Total, Contracts in Payment Status	<u>11,995</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>235</u>	<u>12,297</u>

(continued)

# C. Contracts Paid in Full in the Year Ending September 30, 2008

		Lump Sum			Monthly Purchase		
	Full Benefits	Limited Benefits	Community College	Full Benefits	Community College	Total	
1. Attended Publi Colleges	c 2,212	19	32	78	3	2,344	
2. Terminations							
Private College	es 289	2	9	10	1	311	
Out-of-State/Pa College	ay 440	4	6	11	0	461	
Out-of-State/Pa Refund Design		0	0	0	0	13	
Full Scholarshi	p 47	0	2	0	0	49	
Not Attending College	204	2	8	8	0	222	
Death	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Attending 4-yes College with Community Co Contract	0	0	35	0	2	37	
Attending Community Co with Full Benef Contract		0	0	4	0	41	
Other	<u>10</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>10</u>	
Total Terminati	ons <u>1,040</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>1,144</u>	
Total Contracts Pa Full	id in <u>3,252</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>92</u>	<u>111</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>3,488</u>	

(continued)

# D. Loan Defaults

D.	Loan Delauits	Limited Devette Commun		0	
		Full Benefits Contracts	Limited Benefits Contracts	Community College Contracts	Total
	Fiscal Year 1989	53	0	0	53
	Fiscal Year 1990	86	1	3	90
	Fiscal Year 1991	80	1	5	86
	Fiscal Year 1992	68	0	4	72
	Fiscal Year 1993	25	0	0	25
	Fiscal Year 1994	16	0	0	16
	Fiscal Year 1995	20	0	0	20
	Fiscal Year 1996	4	0	0	4
	Fiscal Year 1997	8	0	0	8
	Fiscal Year 1998	9	0	0	9
	Fiscal Year 1999	11	0	0	11
	Fiscal Year 2000	6	0	0	6
	Fiscal Year 2001	0	0	0	0
	Fiscal Year 2002	0	0	0	0
	Fiscal Year 2003	0	0	0	0
	Fiscal Year 2004	0	0	0	0
	Fiscal Year 2005	0	0	0	0
	Fiscal Year 2006	0	0	0	0
	Fiscal Year 2007	0	0	0	0
	Fiscal Year 2008	0	0	0	0
	Total Loan Defaults	<u>386</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>400</u>

# **SECTION V – ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS\***

### I. Actuarial Assumptions

# A. Discount Rate Applied to Expected Future Cash Flows to Determine Present Value

- Assumption Investment yield relating to market value of assets 4.75%, the same yield utilized last year.
- 2. <u>Basis</u> Pursuant to analysis of the Michigan Department of Treasury, Bureau of Investments and adopted by the Board at their October 30, 2008 meeting, this rate approximates the expected investment yield over the lifetime of the present contracts.

### B. Tax Status

- 1. Assumption MET is exempt from Federal income tax.
- 2. <u>Basis</u> On November 8, 1994, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit ruled that MET is not liable for Federal income taxes. The Internal Revenue Service has refunded taxes paid for the years ended in 1988 through 1995.
- 3. Comment Future Federal income tax law changes may affect the taxation of the Trust.
- C. Bias (e.g., disproportionate use of University of Michigan and Michigan State University)
  - 1. <u>Assumption</u> MET will pay tuition benefits that equal 110.0% of MET WAT, same assumption used last year.
  - 2. <u>Basis</u> Analysis of prior experience.
  - 3. <u>Comment</u> Could be higher, but there are mitigating circumstances that may prevent higher rate:
    - a. Large number of contracts in force.
    - b. High acceptance standards at University of Michigan (e.g. compared to highest priced college in Ohio and Alabama).
    - c. Limit as to how many may attend University of Michigan and Michigan State University.

<sup>\*</sup> Also, see Section I.C. Assumptions, beginning on page 2.

# **SECTION V - ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS**

(continued)

### D. Contract terminations

# 1. Assumption

# **Distribution of Contract Terminations**

Refund Type	Full Benefits	Limited Benefits	Community College Benefits	
1	26%	26%	10%	
2	45%	18%	11%	
3	26%	45%	29%	
4	3%	11%	0%	
5	0%	0%	50%	

### Description of Refund Type

Refund Type	Amount of Refund	Termination Code & Code Description
1	Weighted Average Tuition	1 - Attend Michigan independent college – direct refund to college
2	Average Tuition	2 - Attend out of state college – direct refund to college 4 - Full scholarship
3	Lowest Tuition	3 - Attend Michigan independent or out of state college – direct refund to refund designee 5 - Will not attend college 10 - Other (military)
4	Lowest Tuition	7 – Purchase full or limited benefit, but attend community college
5	Community College WAT	8 – Purchase community college, but attend 4-yr public college
6	Lowest Tuition	6- Death or disability

- 2. <u>Basis</u> Based on analysis of prior terminations.
- 3. <u>Comment</u> An assumption is made for the number and timing of terminations to which this distribution is applied. The termination assumptions are significant for the valuation because the amount paid by MET is less for terminations than for use at four-year Michigan public colleges. See Section VI Contract Provisions Valued.
- **E.** New Contracts Assumed that no new contracts are sold.

# **SECTION V - ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS**

(continued)

# F. Expenses

- Assumption \$2,077,048 in total for MET I and MET II, inflated at 3.5% per year for inflation. Total expenses are split between MET I and MET II according to the number of active contracts expected in each subsequent year, with appropriate recognition for a portion of the future expenses being applied to contracts not yet sold.
- 2. <u>Basis</u> Budgeted expenses for Fiscal Year 2008 2009, reduced for a portion of the Advertising and Public Relations expenses, as the majority of these expenses are assumed to apply to future contract sales.

### G. Use of Credits

# 1. Assumption

Year Since Matriculat	~		per Number of Ye 2 yrs purchase	ars Purchased 1 yr purchase
1	24%	33%	45%	85%
2	24%	25%	30%	10%
3	20%	18%	15%	5%
4	18%	12%	5%	
5	7%	7%	5%	
6	3%	3%		
7	2%	2%		
8	1%			
9	1%			

2. <u>Comment</u> – Students can be expected to delay use, thus providing a benefit to MET. However, an offsetting factor seems to be developing as a meaningful number of students who attend colleges with block pricing take the minimum number of credits each term to enable them to remain full-time.

### H. Tuition Increase Assumption

- 1. <u>Assumption</u> 7.30% for all future years. The same assumption was used last year.
- Basis for Assumption The MET Board adopted this assumption at their October 30, 2008 meeting.

# SECTION V - ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS

(continued)

# I. Loadings to Reflect Experience

We now have enough experience of beneficiaries attending college under a MET contract to analyze whether two features of the program will likely cause greater payments than expected. These two features are a) the ability of a beneficiary to attend a college for which block pricing is in effect resulting in the possibility of having the program pay for more years than purchased and b) the ability of a beneficiary to have more than 120 credits covered (even though, for example, four years of coverage was purchased) due to being in a specific program requiring more than 120 hours for an undergraduate degree (e.g. Engineering). We have determined that an appropriate load on liabilities to reflect these features to be 2.0%.

### II. Actuarial Method

The present value of future benefits is determined by projecting the weighted average tuition cost including mandatory fees at the assumed annual rate of increase and then calculating the expected present value of the future distributions from the Trust based on the investment income and discount rate assumptions.

The value of the Trust assets is determined by the Trust. In the September 30, 2008 valuation, the assets consist primarily of U.S. Treasury bonds and corporate bonds.

The present value of future benefits is compared to the value of assets. In this valuation, a balance is established equal to the difference between the value of the assets and the present value of future benefits. This balance is a measure of the actuarial soundness of Plans B and C.

# SECTION VI - CONTRACT PROVISIONS VALUED

A. Issue Years 1988, 1989 and 1990

### B. Benefit Provisions

### 1. Full Benefits Plan

a. Michigan Public 4-Year College

Full benefits plan provides for tuition and mandatory fees for number of years specified in the contract, from one to four years.

b. Community College

If the beneficiary elects to attend a community college, MET will pay the community college tuition cost or the lowest university tuition cost, depending on options selected by the beneficiary.

c. Michigan Independent College

If the beneficiary elects to attend a Michigan independent college, the contract may be terminated, and MET will pay tuition to the independent college based on the weighted average tuition cost. If the payment is not made to the college, the amount will be based on the lowest tuition.

d. Out-of-State College

If the beneficiary elects to attend an out-ofstate college, the contract may be terminated, and MET will pay to the college four annual installments based on the average tuition cost. If payment is not made to the college, the amount will be based on the lowest tuition.

e. Full Scholarship

If the beneficiary receives a full scholarship, the contract may be terminated, and MET will pay four annual installments based on the average tuition cost.

f. Death or Disability

If the beneficiary dies or has a disability which renders the beneficiary incapable of attending college, the contract may be terminated, and MET will pay one installment based on the lowest tuition cost.

g. No College

If the beneficiary does not attend college, the contract may be terminated, and MET will pay four annual installments based on the lowest tuition cost

•

# SECTION VI - CONTRACT PROVISIONS VALUED

(continued)

### 2. Limited Benefits Plan

a. Michigan Public 4-Year College

Limited benefits plan provides for tuition and mandatory fees for the number of years specified in the contract, from one to four years not to exceed 105% of the WAT. However, if tuition and mandatory fees exceed 105% of the WAT, the beneficiary is provided a reduced amount of credit hours.

b. Community College

If the beneficiary elects to attend a community college, MET will pay the community college tuition cost or the lowest university tuition cost, depending on options selected by the beneficiary.

c. Michigan Independent College

If the beneficiary elects to attend a Michigan independent college, the contract may be terminated, and MET will provide funds to the independent college based on the weighted average tuition at the colleges with tuition less than 105% of the weighted average tuition. If payment is not made to the college, the amount will be based on the lowest tuition.

d. Out-of-State College

If the beneficiary elects to attend an out-ofstate college, the contract may be terminated, and MET will pay four annual installments based on the lowest tuition cost.

e. Full Scholarship

If the beneficiary receives a full scholarship, the contract may be terminated, and MET will pay four annual installments based on the lowest tuition cost.

Death or Disability

If the beneficiary dies or has a disability which renders the beneficiary incapable of attending college, the contract may be terminated, and MET will pay one installment based on the lowest tuition cost.

g. No College

If the beneficiary does not attend college, the contract may be terminated, and MET will pay four annual installments based on the lowest tuition cost.

# SECTION VI - CONTRACT PROVISIONS VALUED

(continued)

### 3. Community College Plan

a. Community College Community college plan provides for tuition

and mandatory fees for the number of years specified in the contract, from one to two

years.

b. Other Michigan College If the beneficiary elects to attend a Michigan

four-year public college or a Michigan independent college, the contract may be terminated, and MET will pay tuition to the college in two annual installments as needed based on the community college weighted average tuition cost in the year prior to the

contract termination.

state college, the contract may be terminated, and MET will pay to the college two annual installments based on the community college average tuition cost. If payment is not made to the college, the

amount will be based on the lowest tuition.

d. Full Scholarship If the beneficiary receives a full scholarship,

the contract may be terminated, and MET will pay two annual installments based on the

community college average tuition cost.

e. Death or Disability If the beneficiary dies or has a disability

which renders the beneficiary incapable of attending college, the contract may be terminated, and MET will pay one installment based on the community college lowest

tuition cost.

f. No College If the beneficiary does not attend college, the

contract may be terminated, and MET will pay two annual installments based on the

community college lowest tuition cost.

# **SECTION VI – CONTRACT PROVISIONS VALUED**

(continued)

C. Transferability

If the contract is transferred to an older beneficiary, MET may charge additional costs, which include a transfer fee, the cost differential between beneficiary ages/grades and MET's loss of investment income. If the older beneficiary accepts the academic year of the original beneficiary, the additional cost can be waived.

D. Loans

If a purchaser defaults on a loan secured by the contract, MET will reimburse the savings institution for the default, and will reduce the amount of benefits purchased in proportion to the amount remaining after the payment to the savings institution.

E. Monthly Purchase

The purchaser of the monthly purchase contract purchases a percent of educational benefits with every monthly purchase amount which is received by MET. A purchaser may choose to make monthly payments over 4, 7 or 10 years.